

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 30, 2001

LB 451

they felt that the inconsistencies that were happening were because there were too many people making decisions without using a screening instrument. And the recommendation of this group, which had judges, including judges that were appointed by the Governor, was that this needed...that this actual screening instrument use and review needed to be done by state probation, which has the expertise in the area. And that is a difference, but that's to gain the consistency, to get to the financial issues, and also to get to the issues of appropriateness of what's happening to the kids because of the disparities...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Time.

SENATOR THOMPSON: ...we know exist in the system.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Thompson, Senator Landis. Senator Hilgert.

SENATOR HILGERT: Thank you, Mr. President, members, I'm a little frustrated too on some of the discussion that's been going on. Certainly Senator Thompson is correct, this thing has been studied, and Senator Thompson is, I think, I'll say notorious for passing out reams of information. This has been studied for quite some time. Now, from the committee statement, there was no opposition to this whatsoever. And this process, what we're talking about, is under our judiciary. You have three branches of the government, executive, judicial, and legislative. Now the branch of government that this concerns, is out there saying they're unequivocally supportive of this concept. Your chairman of the Health and Human Services Committee is supportive of this. You yourselves, without dissent, passed this into law. Now we all recognize, and Senator Quandahl even had a bill this year, because he's concerned about juvenile crime. I think most Nebraskans are concerned about juvenile crime. If you think the system now is perfect, fine. Sustain the veto. If you think it needs reform, then let's work towards that. Now, my understanding of the process is, if you have a particular problem with a bill, you try to amend it. You don't just say, well start over, veto. I know you've studied it, I know this has been passed unanimously in this body. But you try to work within the system and you try